

regard to antidumping duties. Because the final weighted-average dumping margin for Hyundai Steel Company is zero percent, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>11</sup>

Consistent with Commerce's clarification of its assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the above-referenced respondents for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation (as amended)<sup>12</sup> if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>13</sup>

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>14</sup>

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of CORE from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of the review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be

the company-specific rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original LTFV investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 8.31 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation (as amended) in this proceeding.<sup>15</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 27, 2023.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues

*Comment 1:* Whether Dongkuk's Home Market Overrun Sales Are Outside the Ordinary Course of Trade

*Comment 2:* Whether Commerce Used the Correct Gross Unit Price for Hyundai's U.S. Sales

*Comment 3:* Whether to Deduct Certain Inland Freight and Other Direct Selling Expenses from Hyundai's Home Market Prices in the Calculation of Normal Value

##### VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023-02216 Filed 2-2-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-856]

#### Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2020-2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021. We further determine that Synn Co., Ltd. (Synn) had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

**DATES:** Applicable February 3, 2023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick Barton or Matthew Palmer, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0012 or (202) 482-1678, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 5, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* for

<sup>11</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>12</sup> See *Order*; and *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination of Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Results*, 83 FR 39054 (August 8, 2018) (*Timken and Amended Final Results*).

<sup>13</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>14</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>15</sup> See *Order*, and as amended by *Timken and Amended Final Results*.

this administrative review.<sup>1</sup> We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. This review covers two mandatory respondents: Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd. (Prosperity) and Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd. (Yieh Phui). We received a case brief from Prosperity.<sup>2</sup> A complete summary of the events that occurred since publication of the *Preliminary Results* is found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup> Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

#### Scope of the Order<sup>4</sup>

The product covered by the *Order* is flat-rolled steel products, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished, laminated, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. For the full text of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

#### Analysis of the Comments Received

All issues raised in the case brief are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>5</sup> A list of the issues which parties raised, and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 47966 (August 5, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See Prosperity's Letter, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan, Case No. A-583–856: Prosperity Tieh's Case Brief," dated September 13, 2022.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>4</sup> See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016) (*Order*).

<sup>5</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and analysis of the comments received from Prosperity, we made changes to the preliminary weighted-average dumping margins calculations for Prosperity and Yieh Phui. For detailed information, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

#### Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce preliminarily determined that Synn made no shipments of subject merchandise into the United States during the POR.<sup>6</sup> As we have not received any information to contradict this determination, nor comment in opposition to our preliminary finding, we continue to determine that Synn made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Consistent with our practice, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate any existing entries of subject merchandise produced by Synn, but exported by other parties, at the rate for the intermediate reseller, if available, or at the all-others rate.<sup>7</sup>

#### Rate for Respondent Not Selected for Individual Examination

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to individual respondents not selected for examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for respondents which we did not examine in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act states that the all-others rate shall be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins of those exporters and producers individually examined, excluding any rates which are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available (FA). Accordingly, Commerce's practice in administrative reviews has been to average the weighted-average dumping margins for the companies selected for individual examination in the administrative review, excluding rates

<sup>6</sup> See *Preliminary Results*, 87 FR at 47966.

<sup>7</sup> See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922, 26923 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010).

that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on FA.<sup>8</sup> For these final results of review, we calculated weighted-average dumping margins for both mandatory respondents which are not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of FA.<sup>9</sup> Accordingly, Commerce assigns to the company not examined in this review (*i.e.*, Sheng Yu Steel Co., Ltd.) a dumping margin of 3.38 percent, which is the weighted average of the dumping margins calculated using the public ranged sales data of Prosperity and Yieh Phui.

#### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the respondents for the period July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd .....	3.64
Sheng Yu Steel Co., Ltd .....	3.38
Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd .....	2.88

#### Disclosure

We intend to disclose to interested parties the calculations and analysis performed for these final results within five days of the date of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

#### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Rescission of Reviews in Part*, 73 FR 52823, 52824 (September 11, 2008), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 16.

<sup>9</sup> In the case of two mandatory respondents, our practice is to calculate: (A) a weighted average of the dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents; (B) a simple average of the dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents; and (C) a weighted average of the dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents using each company's publicly ranged values for the merchandise under consideration. We compare (B) and (C) to (A) and select the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other companies. See *Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2016*, 82 FR 31555, 31556 (July 7, 2017). We have applied that practice here.

assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>10</sup> For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the mandatory respondents for which they did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States, or for entries associated with Synn, which had no shipments during the POR, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>11</sup>

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-

specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer has been covered in a prior complete segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 3.66 percent,<sup>12</sup> the all-others rate from the *Amended Final Determination*. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 27, 2023.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background

<sup>12</sup> See *Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Determination of Investigation*, 84 FR 6129 (February 26, 2019) (*Amended Final Determination*).

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*

V. Discussion of the Issues

*Comment 1: Whether to Analyze*

Transactions between Prosperity and its Affiliate, Hong-Ye Steel Co., Ltd. (Hong Ye), Under Section 773(f)(2) of the Act, as well as Whether to Include Hong Ye's Purchases in the Market Price Valuation

*Comment 2: Treatment of All Prosperity's Home Market Sales*

*Comment 3: Whether to Adjust Prosperity's Production Quantities Assigned to Surrogate Costs*

VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023-02213 Filed 2-2-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

[Docket No. 220923-0199]

### Announcing Issuance of Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 186-5, Digital Signature Standard

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the Secretary of Commerce's approval of Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 186-5, Digital Signature Standard (DSS). FIPS 186-5 specifies three techniques for the generation and verification of digital signatures that can be used for the protection of data: the Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) Algorithm, the Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA), and the Edwards Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA). The Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA), specified in prior versions of this standard, is retained only for the purposes of verifying existing signatures.

**DATES:** FIPS 186-5 is effective on February 3, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** FIPS 186-5 is available electronically on the NIST Computer Security Resource Center website at <https://csrc.nist.gov>. Comments that were received on the proposed changes are published electronically at <https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/186/5/draft> and at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Dustin Moody, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 8930, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930, email: [Dustin.Moody@nist.gov](mailto:Dustin.Moody@nist.gov), phone: (301) 975-8136.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** FIPS 186 was initially developed by NIST in

<sup>10</sup> In these final results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>11</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.