

unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Phadrea Ponds,

*Information Collection Clearance Officer,
National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2026–17546 Filed 8–27–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–521 and 731–TA–1252–1255 and 1257 (Second Review)]

Certain Steel Nails From Malaysia, Oman, South Korea, Taiwan, and Vietnam; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on Malaysia, Oman, South Korea, Taiwan, and Vietnam, and a countervailing duty order on steel nails from Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: August 4, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Gutierrez (202–205–1999), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On August 4, 2026, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (91 FR 23454, May 1, 2026) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the domestic interested party group

response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on October 30, 2026. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before 5:15 p.m. on November 6, 2026, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by November 6, 2026. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted on behalf of Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

Commission’s website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 25, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–17555 Filed 8–27–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Leila Kump, M.D.; Decision and Order

On December 10, 2025, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration (OSC/ISO) to Leila Kump, M.D., of Great Falls, Virginia (Registrant). Request for Final Agency Action (RFAA), Exhibit (RFAAX) 1, at 1, 9. The OSC/ISO informed Registrant of the immediate suspension of her DEA registration, No. FK6611013, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(d), alleging that her continued registration is “an imminent danger to the public health or safety.” *Id.* at 1. The OSC/ISO also proposed the revocation of her DEA registration, alleging that she currently lacks state authority to handle controlled substances in Virginia and that her continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest.¹ *Id.* (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), 824(a)(3)–(4)).

¹ According to the OSC/ISO and Agency records, Registrant’s registration expired on December 31, 2025. RFAAX 1, at 3. The Agency has previously held that it is within its jurisdiction and discretion to adjudicate a matter to finality where a registration expired after issuance of an OSC and before issuance of a final order. *See Jeffrey D. Olsen, M.D.*, 84 FR 68474, 68475–79 (2019); *see also Abdul Naushad, M.D.*, 89 FR 54059, 54060 (2024) (applying the same principle and adjudicating a matter to finality where a registration expired before issuance of the OSC). Here, adjudicating the matter to finality will achieve similar goals as in *Olsen*; it will support future interactions between the Agency

Continued